UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,112	04/22/2008	Horst Jakob	126115-00101	9384
27557 BLANK ROME	7590 08/27/201 E LLP	EXAMINER		
WATERGATE		KIM, SANG K		
WASHINGTO	IPSHIRE AVENUE, N N, DC 20037	N. W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3654	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/27/2010	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/593,112	JAKOB, HORST		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	SANG KIM	3654		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication.  (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Pre-</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under <u>B</u>	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	er. cepted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Seetion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the Edrawing(s) is objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xanimer. Note the attached Office	Action of form F10-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/18/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite		

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed on 9/18/06 (e.g. FR 1140516) fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: Applicant recites "manufactured at the same time" without explaining the method of structural elements. How does it further limit the subject matter of a previous claim? In so far the claim is understood as the conveyor belt having half-junctions.

Art Unit: 3654

Regarding claim 13, the phrase "may be one of" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stoll, U.S. Patent No. 1792718, in view of Traxler, U.S. Patent No. 2446311.

Stoll '718 discloses a junction for a conveyor belt 2 comprising first and second half-junctions (i.e., splice or step connection, see figures 3, 5, and 7-8), attachable to one end of the conveyor belt and generally fat shape and matching shapes to be interlinked, a flexible core (4, 14), and washers 6 with rivets 5, each of the half-junctions with the corresponding conveyor belt end is provided with the flexible core (4, 14) on each side, each half-junction has a front edge (8-10, 13, 15) as substantially the same shape as a front edge with the other half-junction having top and bottom layers, see figures 2-9.

Traxler '311 discloses a junction for a conveyor belt 10 comprising threaded screws 24 with insert 26, and bush insert 27 with threaded apertures 30, and flexible cores 12 forming a fold (13,14), see figures 1-4.

Stoll '718 discloses the claimed invention except for a particular fastener with folding the flexible core. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Stoll '718, by replacing the fastener and folding the flexible core as taught by Traxler '311, to enhance the belt and easy replacement of a damaged section of the belt.

Claims 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stoll '718 in view of Traxler '311 as applied to claims above, and further in view of Jakob, U.S. Patent No. 5724706.

As stated above, Stoll '718 in view of Traxler '311 does not particular shapes claimed by the applicant.

Jakob '706 discloses the concept of providing particular shapes for a conveyor belt junction device, such as V shape and front edge sections, see figure 1.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to the apparatus of Stoll '718 in view of Traxler '311 by providing V shape and front edge sections as taught by Jakob '706, to show that there are many ways to attach the junction device to the belt with different shapes.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,112

Art Unit: 3654

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SANG KIM whose telephone number is 571-272-6947. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 9:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M.

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mansen, can be reached on (571) 272-6608. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SK

8/25/10

/SANG KIM/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3654